

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/842,219 04/26/2001 Shunpei Yamazaki

26171

P.O. BOX 1022

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022

11/28/2006

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

12732-032001 / US4867

5375

PAPER NUMBER

EXAMINER

HENNING, MATTHEW T

ART UNIT 2131

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/842,219	YAMAZAKI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Matthew T. Henning	2131	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Se	entember 2006		
		action is non-final.	·	
· —	Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is	
,	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		
Dispositi	on of Claims		•	
•	Claim(s) <u>1,26,51 and 54-83</u> is/are pending in th	e application		
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	William Consideration.		
·	Claim(s) <u>1,26,51 and 54-83</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) 83 is/are objected to.			
	-	coloction requirement		
0)ا	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•	
Applicati	on Papers .			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 April 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
			. 11	
Attachment	• •	" —		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) L Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) 🛛 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 6/21/06 9/11/06.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		
				

Application/Control Number: 09/842,219

Art Unit: 2131

Page 2

This action is in response to the communication filed on 9/11/2006.

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/11/2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/11/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues primarily that Li did not disclose the server transmitting the information that the read biological information and the stored biological information have matched to a final end of transaction. The examiner points out that although Li did not explicitly disclose sending such information, it can be seen in Figs. 3A and 3B that the CAS (server) of Li sends the identity of the caller to the recipient, and that this is only sent in the case that there was a match. As such, the mere act of sending the identity carries with it the "information" that a match was made. Furthermore, if a match was not made, the server would not know the identity to be able to send the identity. This interpretation of the claim language is consistent with the disclosure of the instant application on page 13, which the applicants rely upon as supporting the newly added limitation, wherein there is no disclosure of "identical data" being transmitted from both the

Application/Control Number: 09/842,219

Art Unit: 2131

Page 3

client to the server and then from the server to the final end, but rather the "information"

- 2 indicating that there was a match is of a contextual nature.
- Furthermore, the examiner points again to Fig. 3B in that the connection is not "started"
- 4 until after the "caller identity" is sent to the recipient, at which point the recipient decides
- 5 whether to establish the connection or not, as seen in Col. 16 Paragraph 2 of Li.
- As such, the examiner does not find the argument persuasive and has maintained the prior
- 7 art rejections in view of Li.
- 8 Claims 1, 26, 51, and 54-83 have been examined. Claims 2-25, 27-50, and 52-53 have
- 9 been cancelled.

12

15

18

19

20

21

All objections and rejections not set forth below have been withdrawn.

11 Claim Objections

Claim 83 is objected under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(d) as being indefinite for failing to particularly

point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

14 Claim 83 recites the limitation "said information" in the last line of the claim. There is

multiple antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. One of ordinary skill in the art would

be unable to determine whether "said information" is referring to "the read biological

information", "the reference biological information", or "the information that the read biological

information and the reference biological information have matched". As such the scope of the

claim is not ascertainable. For the purposes of searching prior art, and to remain consistent with

the other independent claims, the examiner will assume that "said information" was referring to

"the information that the read biological information and the reference biological information

22 have matched".

Application/Control Number: 09/842,219

Art Unit: 2131

Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 26, 51, 54-60, and 62-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Li et al. (US Patent Number 6,219,793) hereinafter referred to as Li.

Regarding claims 1 and 26, Li disclosed a system for identifying a client (See Li Abstract), the system comprising a server and a portable communication device comprising: a memory for storing at least one reference biological information of the client using the portable communication device (See Li Fig. 4 Element 404, Col. 10 Lines 57-65 and Col. 12 Lines 20-27); a sensor for reading at least one biological information of the client (See Li Fig. 4 Element 417); a checking circuit for checking the read biological information with the stored biological information (See Li Fig. 4 Element 401 and Col. 12 Lines 8-36); and a transmitting circuit for transmitting information that the read biological information and the stored biological information have matched to the server in a case where the checking has matched (See Li Fig. 4 Elements 402 and 102 and Col. 11 Lines 3-9), wherein the server is configured to transmit the information that the read biological information and the stored biological information have matched to a final end of transaction configured to start a transaction with the client conditioned

Art Unit: 2131

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

upon receipt of the information that the read biological information and the stored biological information have matched (See Li Col. 16 Paragraph 2).

Regarding claim 51, Li disclosed a business method using the Internet, said business method comprising: identifying a client by an identifying element loaded in a portable communication device (See Li Fig. 1 Elements 101, 102, and 112 and Fig. 4); and controlling a communication between the client and a plurality of dealers (See Li Fig. 2 Element 202) by a control element in a server (See Li Abstract, and Figs. 3A and 3B); wherein said identifying comprises: storing a reference biological information of the client in the portable communication device (See Li Fig. 4 Element 404 and Col. 10 Lines 57-65 and Col. 12 Lines 20-27); reading biological information of the client (See Li. Col. 10 Lines 57-58); checking the read biological information with the reference biological information (See Li Col. 10 Lines 61-65); and transmitting information that the read biological information and the reference biological information have matched from the identifying element to the control element in a case where the checking has matched (See Li Fig. 4 Elements 402 and 102 and Col. 11 Lines 3-9), and wherein said controlling step comprises: admitting the communication between the client and the plurality of dealers after identifying the client by the identifying element (See Li Col. 11 Lines 19-60); and providing a password to the client (See Li Col. 10 Lines 48-56), and wherein the server is configured to transmit the information that the read biological information and the stored biological information have matched to a final end of transaction configured to start a transaction with the client conditioned upon receipt of the information that the read biological information and the stored biological information have matched (See Li Col. 16 Paragraph 2).

Page 6

Art Unit: 2131

1	Regarding claim 83, Li disclosed a system for identifying a client, said system
2	comprising: a server (See Li Fig. 1 Element 106); a storing means for storing reference
3	biological information of the client (See Li Fig. 4 Element 404); a reading means for reading
4	biological information of the client (See Li Fig. 4 Element 101); a checking means for checking
5	the read biological information with the reference biological information (See Li Col. 10 Lines
6	61-65); a transmitting means for transmitting information that the read biological information
7	and the reference biological information have matched to the server in a case where the checking
8	has matched (See Li Fig. 4 Elements 402 and 102 and Col. 11 Lines 3-9); a final end of
9	transaction (See Li Fig. 3B Step 319 Recipient and Col. 16 Paragraph 2); a further transmitting
10	means for transmitting said information that the read biological information and the reference
11	biological information have matched from the server to the final end of transaction with the
12	client (See Li Fig. 3B Step 319 and Col. 16 Paragraph 2); and a transaction starting means for
13	starting a transaction between the client and the final end of transaction after the final end of
14	transaction has received said information (See Li Fig. 3B Steps 316 and 319 and Col. 16
15	Paragraph 2).
16	Regarding claims 54 and 66, Li disclosed that the memory stores a plurality of biological
17	information of the client (See Li Col. 15 Paragraph 3 and Col. 3 Paragraph 3 and Col. 10
18	Paragraph 4), and the transmitting circuit transmits information that the read biological
19	information has matched with at least one of the stored plurality of information to the server (See
20	Li Col. 11 Lines 3-9).
21	Regarding claims 55 and 67, Li disclosed that the sensor reads a plurality of biological
22	information of the client (See Li Col. 15 Paragraph 4), and the transmitting circuit transmits

Art Unit: 2131

- 1 information that each of the plurality of read biological information has matched with at least
- one of the plurality of stored biological information (See Li Col. 11 Lines 3-9). 2
- 3 Regarding claims 56 and 68, Li disclosed that the information that the read biological
- 4 information and the stored biological information have matched is transmitted to the server
- 5 through the Internet (See Li Col. 7 Paragraph 2).
- 6 Regarding claims 57 and 71, Li disclosed that after transmitting information that the
- 7 checking has matched to the server, a personal identification number information is sent to the
- 8 Server (See Li Col. 15 Paragraphs 3-4).
- 9 Regarding claims 58 and 72, Li disclosed that in a case that the personal identification
- 10 number matches with a number stored at the server, the stored biological information is
- 11 rewritable (See Li Col. 15 Paragraph 3).
- 12 Regarding claims 59-60, 73-74, and 78-79, Li disclosed that the biological information is
- 13 one selected from the group consisting of a fingerprint, a palm pattern and a voice print; and that
- the palm pattern is a whole pattern of the palm or a pattern of a part of the palm (See Li Col. 6 14
- Paragraph 3 and Col. 17 Paragraph 3). 15
- 16 Regarding claim 62, Li disclosed that the sensor includes one of a photodiode and a CCD
- 17 (See Li Col. 4 Paragraph 6).
- 18 Regarding claims 63-65, 75-77, and 80-82, Li disclosed that the portable communication
- 19 device comprises a portable information terminal; a portable telephone; a personal computer (See
- 20 Li Col. 5 Line 66 – Col. 6 Line 14).
- 21 Regarding claims 69-70, Li disclosed a step of transmitting information that the checking
- 22 has matched from the server to a connection of the client; and that a transaction is started

Application/Control Number: 09/842,219 Page 8

Art Unit: 2131

25

1 between the client and the connection after the connection has received information that the 2 checking has matched (See Li Col. 16 Paragraph 2). 3 Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 4 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 5 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action: 6 7 A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the 89 subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was 10 made. 11 Claim 61 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li as applied to 12 13 claim 1 above, and further in view of Osborn (US Patent Number 6,026,293). 14 Li disclosed a memory unit containing programs, responses and any other information the Fingerprint Capturing Module of the cellular phone needed to operate (See Li Col. 12 Lines 20-15 27), but failed to disclose what type of memory the memory unit was. 16 Osborn teaches that in cellular telephones, programs are stored in flash memory (See 17 18 Osborn Col. 3 Line 61 – Col. 4 Line 2). 19 It would have been obvious to the ordinary person skilled in the art at the time of invention to employ the teachings of Osborn in the authenticating cellular telephone of Li by 20 21 providing the memory unit as a flash memory. This would have been obvious because the 22 ordinary person skilled in the art would have been motivated to store the programs of the phone 23 in the conventional manner. Conclusion 24

Claims 1, 26, 51, and 54-83 have been rejected.

Art Unit: 2131

l	Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
2	examiner should be directed to Matthew T. Henning whose telephone number is (571) 272-3790.
3	The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

15

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16 17

18 19

20

21 Matthew Henning

22 Assistant Examiner

23 Art Unit 2131

24 11/16/2006

' AYAZ SHEIKH

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

nolom